



Tutorial 7 : Second-order methods - Newton algorithm

Exercise 1 (Newton method for quadratic functions). Consider a quadratic function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top \mathbf{A}x + b^\top x + c$, where $\mathbf{A} \succ 0$.

1. What are the minimizers of f ?
2. What is the pure Newton step for the function f ?
3. How many steps does it take for the Newton method to reach the minimizers of f ?

Exercise 2 (Divergence of pure Newton steps). If one only performs pure Newton steps, the iterates might diverge, even if the function is convex. Consider:

$$f(x) = -\log x + x$$

Answer the following questions:

1. Is the function f convex? Is the function f strongly convex? What is its minimizer?
2. Write the pure Newton step for the function f .
3. What is the set of initialization x_0 so that pure Newton steps can converge to its minimizer? What is the convergence speed? Can we apply the theoretical results proved in the lecture?
4. Bonus: Answer the same questions with the function $f(x) = \log(\exp(x) + \exp(-x))$.

Exercise 3 (BFGS algorithm). The BFGS algorithm is a quasi-Newton method, i.e., it shares a similar model to the Newton algorithm. Indeed, at the k th iteration, BFGS constructs a quadratic surrogate of f of the form:

$$m_k(p) = f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^\top p + \frac{1}{2}p^\top \mathbf{B}_k p.$$

where $\mathbf{B}_k \succ 0$. We will update x_{k+1} as:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{B}_k^{-1} \nabla f(x_k). \\ \mathbf{B}_{k+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \rho_k y_k s_k^\top) \mathbf{B}_k (\mathbf{I} - \rho_k s_k y_k^\top) + \rho_k y_k y_k^\top \\ s_k &= x_{k+1} - x_k, \quad y_k = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k), \quad \rho_k = \frac{1}{y_k^\top s_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Answer the following questions:

1. Prove that if $\mathbf{B}_k \succ 0$, then $p_k = -\mathbf{B}_k^{-1}\nabla f(x_k)$ is a descent direction, i.e., $p_k^\top \nabla f(x_k) < 0$.
2. Assume the step-size α_k satisfies the Wolfe condition, i.e.,:

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1})^\top p_k \geq c_2 \nabla f(x_k)^\top p_k, \quad c_2 \in (0, 1),$$

prove that $\rho_k > 0$.

3. Prove that if $\mathbf{B}_k \succ 0$, then $\mathbf{B}_{k+1} \succ 0$.

Exercise 4 (How to derive B_{k+1} in BFGS algorithm?). In this exercise, we will prove that:

$$\mathbf{B}_{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{B} \succ 0} \{ \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_k\|_{\mathbf{W}} \mid \mathbf{B}s_k = y_k \}$$

where \mathbf{W} is any positive definite matrix satisfying $\mathbf{W}y_k = s_k$ and $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathbf{W}} = \|\mathbf{W}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_F$. Answer the following questions:

1. Let $\mathbf{W}^{1/2}\mathbf{B}_k\mathbf{W}^{1/2} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}_k$, $\mathbf{W}^{1/2}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}^{1/2} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}$, $\mathbf{W}^{1/2}y_k = \hat{y}_k$, $\mathbf{W}^{-1/2}s_k = \hat{s}_k$. Prove that our optimization problem can be reformulated as:

$$\operatorname{argmin} \{ \|\hat{\mathbf{B}} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_k\|_F \mid \hat{\mathbf{B}} \succ 0, \hat{\mathbf{B}}\hat{s}_k = \hat{s}_k \}. \quad (1)$$

2. Let $u = \frac{\hat{s}_k}{\|\hat{s}_k\|} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u_\perp \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times (d-1)}$ be any orthonormal complement of u (i.e., $(u \ u_\perp) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a unitary matrix). Prove the optimality condition for problem (1) can be written as

$$u_\perp^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}} u_\perp = u_\perp^\top \hat{\mathbf{B}}_k u_\perp.$$

3. Prove that the optimal solution of (1) is

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}} = uu^\top + (\mathbf{I} - uu^\top)\hat{\mathbf{B}}_k(\mathbf{I} - uu^\top).$$

4. Recover the original formula.

Exercise 5 (Fast update rule for BFGS). In the BFGS algorithm, we only care about the product of \mathbf{B}_k^{-1} with a vector. Therefore, it is better to update \mathbf{B}_{k+1}^{-1} from \mathbf{B}_k^{-1} directly. Prove that:

1. Sherman - Morrison - Woobury Formula: if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{UCV}^\top$, then

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{B}^{-1}$$

2. Prove that:

$$\mathbf{B}_{k+1}^{-1} = \mathbf{B}_k^{-1} + \frac{1}{s_k^\top y_k} s_k s_k^\top - \frac{1}{y_k^\top \mathbf{B}_k^{-1} y_k} \mathbf{B}_k^{-1} y_k y_k^\top \mathbf{B}_k^{-1}.$$

Exercise 6 (Another version of BFGS). In reality, the most implemented version of BFGS uses a slightly different update rule from the one described in the previous exercise. Indeed, they choose to update \mathbf{H}_k , the inverse of \mathbf{B}_k as:

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{H} \succ 0} \{ \|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_k\|_{\mathbf{W}} \mid \mathbf{H}y_k = s_k \}$$

where \mathbf{W} is any positive definite matrix satisfying $\mathbf{W}s_k = y_k$. The descent direction is then $\mathbf{H}_k \nabla f(x_k)$ (and not $\mathbf{B}_k^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$). Can you derive the update rule of \mathbf{H}_k ?