



## Tutorial 11 : Linear Programming and Integer Linear Program

**Exercise 1** (Existence of optimal solutions). Prove that if an instance of linear programming is feasible and its optimal value is finite, then it admits an optimal solution.

**Exercise 2** (Dual problems calculation). Compute the dual problems of the following integer programming instances:

1.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Minimize} & 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 \\
 \text{subject to} & 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \geq 10 \\
 & x_1 - 3x_2 + 2x_3 \geq 15 \\
 & 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 \geq 18 \\
 & x \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

2.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Minimize} & x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 \\
 \text{subject to} & 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \geq 5 \\
 & x_1 - 3x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 7 \\
 & 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 3 \\
 & x_3 \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

3.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Maximize} & 6x_1 - 2x_2 + 5x_3 \\
 \text{subject to} & x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3 \geq 5 \\
 & 2x_1 - 2x_2 - 5x_3 = 7 \\
 & 4x_1 + 3x_2 - 7x_3 = 3 \\
 & x_1, x_3 \leq 0 \\
 & x_2 \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

**Exercise 3** (Deduce the optimal solutions from primal/dual results). Consider a pair of primal and dual problems:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{Minimize} & 5x_1 + 11x_2 + 8x_3 \\
 \text{subject to} & 2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 \geq 5 \\
 & 3x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3 \geq 4 \\
 & x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 \geq 3 \\
 & x \geq 0
 \end{array} \tag{P}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Maximize} && 5y_1 &+& 4y_2 &+& 3y_3 \\
 &\text{subject to} && 2y_1 &+& 3y_2 &+& y_3 &\geq 5 \\
 &&& 4y_1 &-& y_2 &+& 2y_3 &\leq 11 \\
 &&& 3y_1 &+& 4y_2 &-& 2y_3 &= 8 \\
 &&& && && y &\geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

Knowing that  $(2, 0, 1)$  is an optimal solution for (P), find the optimal solution of (D).

**Exercise 4** (Farkas lemma resivited). Remind the Farkas lemma: given  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ . Define the cone:

$$K := \{\mathbf{B}y + \mathbf{C}w \mid y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, w \in \mathbb{R}^p\}.$$

Prove that only one of the two alternatives is true:

1.  $g \in K$ .
2. There exists  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $g^\top d < 0$ ,  $\mathbf{B}^\top d \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^\top d = 0$ .

Provide a proof for the Farkas lemma using linear programming.

**Exercise 5** (ILP formulation). Write the ILP formulations for the following problems:

1. **Independent Set problem:** Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an independent set is the set of vertices pairwise not incident. Formulate the Maximum Independent Set.
2. **Dominating Set Problem:** Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a dominating set is the set of vertices  $X$  such that any vertex of the graph is either in  $X$  or incident to a vertex of  $X$ . Formulate the Minimum Donimating Set problem.
3.  **$N$ -queens problem** Suppose that you are given an  $N \times N$ -chessboard. Formulate the problem of placing  $N$  queens on the board such that no two queens share any row, column and diagonal.
4. **Traveling Saleman Problem (TSP)** Given a weighted complete graph  $G$ . The goal consists in finding a cycle passing exactly once through all the vertices of the graph such that the sum of its edge weights is minimize. Formulate this problem.

**Exercise 6** (Minimum Weighted Set Cover). Given  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}, T_i \subseteq N, i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $w_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, r$  a weight/cost associated to  $T_i$ , a set cover  $S$  is subset of  $\{T_i \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$  satisfying  $\cup_{T \in S} T = N$ . The Minimum Weighted Set Cover problem asks to find a set cover that minimize their total cost.

1. Write an ILP to solve the problem.
2. Let  $x^*$  be an optimal solution of the relaxation. Let us set  $x_i = 1$  with probability  $x_i^*$  and 0 otherwise. Prove that the expected value of the total cost is the optimal value of the relaxed LP problem.
3. Prove that the probability of a fixed index  $j$  uncovered by  $x$  (sampled by the strategy as the previous question) is at most  $1/e$ .
4. Deduce an  $O(\log n)$  approximation algorithm (with constant failure probability), i.e., there exists  $0 \leq c < 1$  such that the obtained solutions yielding a value at most  $O(\log n)$  times the true optimal one (hint: use Markov inequality).